



*Engineering Planning and Management, Inc.*

---

■ 959 Concord Street, Framingham, MA 01701  
TEL 508-875-2121 FAX 508-879-3291  
[www.epm-inc.com](http://www.epm-inc.com)

## **SAFE – Non-Power Operational Modes**

Vincent Renzi  
Division Manager  
Strategic Technology Group  
[vrr@epm-inc.com](mailto:vrr@epm-inc.com)  
508-532-7167

February 2009

## Contents

---

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Problem Statement</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Previous Options</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Solution</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Implementation</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Summary</b>	<b>3</b>

## Introduction

For stations whom have committed to adopt the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 805, A *Performance-Based Standard for Fire Protection for Light Water Reactor Electric Generating Plants*, 2001 Edition, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.48(c).

The current industry approaches for evaluating risk during shutdown conditions involves both quantitative and qualitative assessments and is based on NEI 93- 01, *Industry Guideline for Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear Power Plants*, and NUMARC 91-06, *Guidelines for Industry Actions to Assess Shutdown Management*.

It was always assumed that transitioning to NFPA 805 would require the definition and partitioning for Compartment and/or Scenarios.

## Problem Statement

In the Performance Based Analysis a Compartment “full burnout” is perform for normal plant operations. The analysis should reflect the engineering resolutions associated with Normal Operational (NO) failures for the specific component in a compartment.

When transitioning to the NFPA 805 Licensing Basis, it shall be consistent with applicable FAQs, the nuclear safety performance criteria are met during Non-Power Operational (N-PO) modes.

The engineering resolution(s) applied for NO modes and those applied to N-PO modes for a failed component within the same compartment as its NO counterpart can be different and

therefore a process for applying and tracking engineering resolutions for the same component for the same compartment needs to be established.

### **Previous Options**

Non-Power Operational modes have historically been addressed under the plant maintenance activities.

### **Solution**

SAFE has been enhanced such that when a Performance Based Compartment “full burnout” analysis is performed, the analyst has the following options; (1) have SAFE perform the analysis using the Normal Operational mode using the Performance Based resolutions or (2) electing to run the analysis for the Non-Power Operational mode using the specific NPO resolutions.

#### *Benefit 1*

A component’s compartment’s resolutions for Performance Based and NPO can mutually exist.

#### *Benefit 2*

The SAFE analysis engine processes the desired NO/N-PO analysis.

#### *Benefit 3*

The analysis Summary and Failure reports include the analysis type that was performed.

#### *Benefit 4*

The underlying analysis model (i.e. logics) for both analysis types by design are maintained as a single model and by definition are identical.

### **Implementation**

SAFE Version 5.0.0 has been released for safety related use in the nuclear industry

### **Summary**

The major components of SAFE include:

- Post Fire Safe Shutdown (PFSS)  
10 CFR 50 Appendix R
- NFPA 805/NUREG/ CR-6850
- Fire PRA
- Non-Power Operations

SAFE is 10 CFR 50 Appendix B compliant and was designed as a configuration management tool for long term conformance.